

Monterey County Housing Authority, California; Affordable Housing

Primary Credit Analyst:

Jose M Cruz, San Francisco (1) 415-371-5053; jose.m.cruz@spglobal.com

Secondary Contact:

Ki Beom K Park, New York (1) 212-438-8493; kib.park@spglobal.com

Table Of Contents

.....

Rationale

Outlook

Enterprise Risk Profile

Financial Risk Profile

Monterey County Housing Authority, California; Affordable Housing

| Credit Profile | | |
|----------------------------------|----------------|----------|
| Monterey Cnty Hsg Auth AFFORDHSG | | |
| <i>Long Term Rating</i> | A+ (sf)/Stable | Affirmed |
| Monterey Cnty Hsg Auth AFFORDHSG | | |
| <i>Long Term Rating</i> | A (sf)/Stable | Affirmed |

Rationale

S&P Global Ratings affirmed its 'A+ (sf)' and 'A (sf)' ratings on the Monterey County Housing Authority, Calif.'s (Casanova Plaza and Parkside Manor apartments) series 1999A and 1999C multifamily mortgage revenue bonds, respectively. The outlook is stable.

The ratings reflect our view of:

- The very strong financial performance of the project, reflected in three years' average debt service coverage (DSC) of 1.53x and 1.41x maximum annual debt service (MADS) coverage on the 1999A and C bonds, respectively;
- Extremely strong loss coverage for the project, indicated by low loan-to-value (LTV) ratios and sufficient reserves; and
- Experienced management and oversight of the apartments, which are owned by an affiliated nonprofit organization.

Partially offsetting the above credit strengths is our view of weaker operating performance, evidenced by lower occupancy levels compared with those of rated peers.

The bonds were issued to finance the acquisition and rehabilitation of Casanova Plaza and Parkside Manor apartments, located in Monterey County, Calif. (approximately 71 miles south of San Jose). Current bonds outstanding is approximately \$3.88 million for the senior series 1999A bonds, and \$290,000 for the subordinate series 1999C bonds. Three-year average DSC is 1.53x and 1.41x for the series 1999A and 1999C bonds, respectively, and includes annual subsidies to very low-, lower-, and low-income residents.

Outlook

The stable outlook reflects our view of the properties' strong financial performance and management expertise. We also expect the properties will maintain stable operations due to the project's market and economic fundamentals.

Upside scenario

If the properties can demonstrate consistently strong operating performance, we could consider raising the rating.

Downside scenario

Conversely, should expenses increase significantly, a negative rating action could be warranted.

Enterprise Risk Profile

Industry risk

We view risk as low for the affordable housing industry. Residential rental markets typically pose less risk than other property classes, and the increased need for affordable housing during times of economic stress lends further stability. Competitive risk is fairly low owing to effective entry barriers in many jurisdictions, relatively low substitution risk, and overall stability in growth and margins. In addition, ongoing governmental subsidies, other support, and oversight generally limit volatility, with the overall importance of the service delivered, thereby limiting the potential for negative governmental intervention.

Economic fundamentals and market dependencies

We view the project's economic fundamentals and market dependencies as strong, reflected in the high demand for housing in its market. We expect these conditions to remain stable, based on high occupancy rates and above-average rental growth projected for the Monterey County-Salinas area. IHS Global Insight forecasts the population will grow by 0.16% annually over the next four years, while REIS Inc. forecasts market rents to grow by 3.60% annually over the same period. The property's average rent was 55.40% of market rent, based on a REIS fourth-quarter report for fiscal 2018. These trends, along with a projected rapid increase in the elderly population nationwide, point to the growing demand for housing in Monterey County.

Government support

While the properties receive minimal ongoing governmental support with respect to housing, they do benefit indirectly from property tax exemptions (based on the sponsor's nonprofit status) and access to tax-exempt bond financing.

Strategy and management

The owner, MCHI Affordable Acquisitions Inc., used the bond proceeds to purchase the properties from the authority and to fund reserves. MCHI is a California-based 501(c)(3) organization established by the authority for the sole purpose of owning the properties. The authority also serves as property manager. We rank MCHI as "good" under our owner evaluation guidelines. The executive team is, in our view, competent and well trained in areas of expertise.

Additionally, we view the sponsor's track record and operational effectiveness as strong, owing to no prior instances of technical default involving its properties, its demonstrated ability to respond to emerging and unexpected operational risks that occasionally affect cash flows, and DSC of at least 1.0x for the vast majority of its properties. We rank management's strategic planning processes as strong, reflecting the use of a specific strategic plan and a formal organizational structure. The sponsor's governance structure has a neutral impact on our view of strategy and management.

Financial Risk Profile

Loss coverage

We view the project's loss coverage as extremely strong. Projected losses are derived in accordance with our commercial mortgage-backed securities property evaluation criteria. We use an LTV ratio of 38.80%, net cash flow, and DSC primarily to determine the transaction's credit enhancement. The transaction maintains a debt service reserve fund at 12 months' MADS and a replacement reserve. Management invests funds in accordance with permitted investment provisions detailed in the trust indenture.

Financial strength

The project's financial performance remained strong in fiscal 2018. Three years' average DSC for the project based on audited financials ended 2018 reported 1.53x and 1.41x of MADS for the series A and C bonds, respectively, which includes annual subsidies to very low-, lower-, and low-income residents.

Asset quality

The properties have what we view as adequate asset quality. Parkside Manor, built in 1973, is an 88-unit senior housing complex in Salinas. It consists primarily of one-bedroom units that average 530 square feet. The project's 88 units are clustered into two 40-unit buildings occupied by seniors, along with eight family-occupied townhouse units. Casanova Plaza, in Monterey, is an 86-unit, senior-occupied apartment facility built in 1972; it consists primarily of one-bedroom units that average 560 square feet.

Operating performance

The properties' benefits from low vacancy rate. The project's three-year weighted average vacancy is 5.01%, while very strong, is slightly higher compared to rated peers. According to the owner, Parkside's and Casanova Plaza's occupancy rates were 96% as of December 2018.

Financial policies and practices

We view management's financial policies and practices as adequate; this incorporates our view of its loan origination and portfolio monitoring policies.

Copyright © 2019 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives. Rating-related publications may be published for a variety of reasons that are not necessarily dependent on action by rating committees, including, but not limited to, the publication of a periodic update on a credit rating and related analyses.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw or suspend such acknowledgment at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.